

U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Science

SC's Ten Year Site Plans (TYSPs)

John Metzler

June 8, 2005



2004 TYSPs

Office of Science

- The Ten Year Site Plans a Departmental and OMB requirement -- provides:
 - identification of facility and infrastructure issues that affect mission accomplishment
 - planning and budgeting information to support the budget formulation process;
 - plans for management of maintenance and deferred maintenance;
 - identification of modernization needs;
 - changes in land use plans;
 - tracking of facility management performance measures; and
- In January 2005, the Director of the Office of Science approved SC's 2004 Ten Year Site Plans.
- The TYSPs are now an element of SC's performance under the Real Property initiative of the President's Management Agenda.



Office of Science

Thanks to all sites for their 2005 TYSPs!

The improvements from 2004 . . .

Executive Summary requirement

Business Plan

More accessible to a wider audience

Significant revisions:

F&I Condition Assessment, 5-Year Sustainment, DM Reduction, Recapitalization, FIMS

Future Liabilities section dropped

IFI Crosscut guidance

Top down

- SC Business Plan
- Requirements stemming from the 2006 DOE Asset Management Plan

Bottom Up

- Input from Sites and labs/Lab business plans
- EFCOG Asset Management Planning Working Group

In between . . .

- SC "corporate" review of the 2005 TYSPs
- NNSA experience with TYCSPs



Speaking of schedule . . .

Some considerations for timing of SC approval of 2005 TYSPs and issuance of guidance for '06 TYSPs:

Site needs: Lead times desired for preparation of 2006 TYSPs, due ~ May 2006?

September 2005

SC Business Plan(s) complete

PMA quarterly reporting

(AMP requirement for a 3 year rolling timeline?)

October 2005

Completion of SC corporate review

(Streamlining of SC TYSP process?)



Do we need . . .

SC COO:

- Safety Task Force
- Human Capital Advisory Group
- Challenge to find way(s) to train, develop F&I managers

OneSC is reviewing the way SC does business

Experience base of two rounds of TYSPs

→ Workshop or Task Force to streamline TYSPs?



Sites and Labs could help address issues with the TYSP process:

- Is the TYSP an effective communication tool?
- Does the format and content make the TYSP a useful plan for SC sites?
- What item(s) in the TYPS are the most difficult to prepare, and why?
- What is unnecessary or overkill?
- What should be changed to serve better everyone's needs?
- What role can the ISC or Site(s) play to help collate the plans and to ensure a total SC view?
- What preparation schedule makes sense from a site's perspective?